



#### **Dynamical Evolution / Formation of Galaxies**

**Gravitation & Hydrodynamics :** 

Dark matter : semianalyt. / numer. N-body- dissipationslessStars :N-Body-Tree-Codes- collisionlessCos :(Smooth Particle) Hydrodynamicsdissipative

- Gas: (Smooth Particle) Hydrodynamics dissipative (+ Tree-Codes)
  - + Star Formation Criterium + Feedback

(radiation, mechan. energy, mass, heavy elements) from stars and AGB

- → galaxy interactions
- → galaxy formation

#### **Galaxy – Galaxy Interactions**



<star ↔ star>  $\simeq 10^7 < ②_{\bigstar}$ ><galaxy ↔ galaxy>  $\simeq 40 < ②_{gal}$ >

 $\Rightarrow$  <1 major merger / galaxy / Hubble time>

- + many minor ones !
- Morphological transformation
   spiral + spiral + starburst → E/S0
   Sd + dwarf galaxy → Sb, Sa

#### **GALEV**:



- Starbursts with extremely high star formation efficiencies η during interactions between gas-rich galaxies
  - ⇒ spectral transformation spiral + spiral + starburst → E

(FvA & Gerhard 1994a,b, FvA & Burkert 1995)





(Berentzen et al. 03) GRAPE-3AF simulation





Arp's Atlas of Peculiar Galaxies : 1966
Toomre & Toomre 1972 ff : first numerical modelling of disk – disk interactions : N-body (N=128 ...)
morphological transformation of disks → spheroids
spiral + spiral → "E"
spiral + dwarf → spiral with bulge

#### **Counterarguments :**

- \* central densities too small
- \* GC specific frequencies too small

 $T_{GC} := N_{GC} / M_{gal}$ <  $T_{GC}(E) > \sim 2 < T_{GC}(Sp) >$ 



(Ashman & Zepf 95)

**Dynamical Models : Galaxy Interactions Dynamical models 2008 :** N-body TREE Codes : stars + DM N~10<sup>5</sup> -10<sup>6</sup> disk + bulge + halo **SPH TREE Codes : gas** (or Sticky Particles method) → high gas concentrations towards centres → central gas densities ~ stellar central densities of Es ✓ as observed in ULIRGs (= Ultraluminous IR Gals = advanced stages of gas-rich mergers) HI from beyond the optical radius brought into the galaxy/centre **Problems : shock resolution, molecular cloud structure,** multi-phase ISM, SF criterium/criteria, feedback → formation of GCs in mergers : GC specific frequency ✓



#### **Dynamical Models : Galaxy Interactions**

**Orbital parameters & galaxy properties :** 

global — nuclear starbursts — AGN fuelling (e.g. Barnes & Hernquist 1992, Jogee 2005)

prograde encounters : global starbursts (? contracting ?) retrograde -- " -- : nuclear starbursts & AGN fuelling

Consistent inclusion of SF, AGN formation/feeding and feed back from both still under construction





### **Dynamical Models : Galaxy Interactions**

Sp + Sp + starburst → S0

#### disk morphology + E kinematics



(Bournaud, Combes & Jog 2004)

#### **Dynamical Models : Galaxy Interactions**

#### Gas rich : Sp + Sp + starburst → Sa disk morphology + disk kinematics for young stars &

20

10

-10

10

0

-10

-20 -20

-10

0

 $x [h^{-1} \text{kpc}]$ 

10

20

-10

0

 $x [h^{-1} \text{kpc}]$ 

10

y [ h<sup>-1</sup> kpc ]

 $z [h^{-1} \text{ kpc }]$ 



bulge = stars from 1. burst disk rebuilt from gas surviving strong burst & subsequent SF (Springel & Hernquist 2005)



gas

20

10

0

-10

20

10

0

-10

20

#### **Interacting Galaxies & Mergers**

Galaxy interactions/mergers trigger strong starbursts if 1 or 2 of the galaxies are gas-rich

e.g. spiral – spiral mergers (NGC 4038/39 = Antennae, NGC 7252, . . .)



#### **Galaxy Interactions & Starbursts Starbursts in giant interacting galaxies :** Evol. Synthesis modelling $\rightarrow$ SFR ~ 30 – 1000 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>/yr, $\rightarrow$ post - starbursts b~0.3 – 0.5 (FvA & Gerhard 1994a, b) E.g. NGC 7252 : bright Sc-Sc Merger (HI in Tidal Tails) **Strong Balmer abs. lines --> Strong global starburst R >10 kpc** LIRG/ULIRG ? 600 – 1000 Myr ago 3.5 2.5 Tlux**Conservative estimate :** 1.5 $\max_{\text{Fit } b=0.5 \text{ t}=13.25 \text{ Gyr}} \frac{b \ge 0.3}{b}$ 0.5 3600 4000 4200 4400 380.0 4800 4600 5000 -52005400 5600 **Star Formation Efficiency** $= M_{stars} / M_{gas} \ge 0.4$ SFE

#### A model for NGC 7252

Start from broad band colours UBVRI : comparison with model grid -> box in parameter space

#### Additional pieces of information:

Within box of parameter space: detailed comparison with spectral properties strong Balmer absorption lines : strong starburst 600 – 1000 Myr ago

metal lines : (0.5 – 1)  $Z_{\odot}$ 

(Fritze - v. A. & Gerhard 1994a, b)

#### **Galaxy Interactions & Starbursts** spectral modelling for NGC 7252 $\rightarrow$ residual SFR ~ 3 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>/yr powered by HI falling back from tidal tails (~50%) and by gas restored from burst stars (~50%) - emission component in H $\beta$ absorption line - IUE spectrum + ROSAT data HI falling back from tails for >3 Gyr → HI disk + SF → stellar disk : S0 or Sa (spec + morph) (Hibbard et al. 1997)



#### A model for NGC 7252

#### Future evolution : will reach E/S0/Sa galaxy colours & spectra within 3 – 5 Gyr depending on future SFR evolution



(Fritze – v. A. & Gerhard 1994 b)



#### **Interacting Galaxies & Mergers**

## Burst strengths in isolated dwarf and interacting massive galaxies :

**\* NGC 7252** 



can SF process be the same in isolated dwarf & major merger starbursts ?



Luminous InfraRed Galaxies (LIRGs) (e.g. Antennae)  $L_{FIR} \sim 10^{10} - 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ 

= global starbursts in giant gas-rich mergers

 $\rightarrow$  SFR ~ 30  $\ldots$  . 300  $M_{\odot}/yr$ 

Ultra Luminous InfraRed Galaxies (ULIRGs) (e.g. Arp 220)

$$L_{FIR} \sim L_{bol} \sim 10^{12} - 10^{13} L_{\odot}$$
  $A_{V} \sim 30 \text{ mag}$ 

= nuclear starbursts in giant gas-rich mergers

 $\rightarrow$  SFR ~ 300  $\ldots$  > 1000  $M_{\odot}/yr$ 



#### **Star Formation Efficiencies**

**Star Formation Efficiency SFE := M**<sub>stars</sub> / M<sub>gas</sub>

Global ScaleSpiral galaxies :SFE ~ 0.1 - 3 %Irregular galaxies :SFE ~ 0.1 - 3 %Starbursts in dwarf galaxies :SFE ~ 0.1 - 3 %

in giant interacting galaxies : SFE ~ 10 – 50 %

10-300 pc scale Ultra Luminous IR Galaixes : ULIRGs : SFE ~ 30 – 90 %

Small Scale Milky Way Molecular Clouds : SFE ~ M(MC core) / M(MC) ~ 0.1 - 3 %

#### **Molecular Clouds & SF Processes**

Normal galaxies (Spirals, Irrs) : MC collapse → SFR MC mass spectrum = power law : m ~ -1.7 .... -2 observed + okay w. supersonic turb.+gravity ~ MC core mass spectrum ~ open star cluster mass spectrum

Interacting galaxies : MC - MC collisions enhanced  $\rightarrow$  SFR  $\nearrow$  MCs shock compressed by high ambient pressure  $\rightarrow$  SFE  $\nearrow$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{ISM}} \thicksim (3-4) \quad \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{MC}} \rightarrow \mathsf{SFE} \thicksim 0.7 - 0.9 \; ! \\ & (\mathsf{Jog} \& \; \mathsf{Das} \; \mathsf{1992}, \mathsf{1996}) \end{array}$ 



#### **Molecular Cloud Structure**

Small Scale Milky Way Molecular Clouds : L(HCN,CS) / L(CO) ~ 0.1 – 3 % ~ M(MC core) / M(MC)

10-300 pc scale Ultra Luminous IR Galaixes = massive gas-rich mergers : L(HCN,CS) / L(CO) ~ 30 – 100 % ~ M(MC core) / M(MC)

Molecular Cloud structure in ULIRGs very different from Milky Way Can the SF process be the same ?

#### **Molecular Cloud Structure & SF**

For all galaxies (Spirals . . . ULIRGs) :

tight correlation SFR [L(FIR)] — M(MC cores)[L(HCN)] SFR [L(FIR)] / M(MC cores)[L(HCN)] ~ const. =: SFE



(Gao & Solomon 2004, Solomon et al. 1992)

#### For all galaxies (BCDs . . . Spirals . . . ULIRGs) : SFE ~ M(MC core) / M(MC)

~ L(HCN,CS) / L(CO)

Schmidt law (1959) :(Kennicutt 1998 :SFR density ~ gas (HI) density \*\* n, n ~1.4 )SFR density ~ gas (CO) density \*\* nn~1 for spirals ... n~2 for ULIRGs(over 5 orders in gas surface density & 6 orders in SFR density)

Schmidt law:

SFR density ~ gas (HCN,CS) density \*\* n n=1 for all galaxies (spirals ... ULIRGs) (Gao & Solomon 2004)

Timescale & Efficiency for SF are set by transformation low → high density gas : HI, CO → HCN, CS

→ Importance of multi – phase ISM in dynam. models !

**Starbursts in Interacting Galaxies Pixel-by-pixel analyses of Tadpole & Mice galaxies** SF in these interacting & starburst galaxies is star cluster formation to a large extent 70% of U - light is from star clusters 40% of I - light star cluster formation = dominant mode of SF even in the expanding low-density tidal tails ! With SFR 7 rel. amount of SF into star clusters 7 rel. amount of SF into massive compact **long-lived clusters 7** Feedback from strongly clustered SF

≠ feedback from lower-level smooth SF

#### Starbursts in Interacting Galaxies Pixel-by-pixel analyses









#### **Galaxy Interactions & Cluster Formation**



Colliding Galaxies NGC 4038 and NGC 4039 HST • WFPC PRC97-34a • ST Scl OPO • October 21, 1997 • B, Whitmore (ST Scl) and NASA

Strong starburst  $\rightarrow$  formation of thousands of bright star <u>clusters</u> from gas pre-enriched in the spirals high star formation efficiency  $\rightarrow$  clusters strongly bound, long-lived proto-Globular Clusters! (Burkert, Brown, Truran 96)  $\eta \ge 10 - 30\%$ : Globular Clusters

**Secondary GCs = eternal tracers of violent SF epoch** 

#### **Analysis of Star Cluster Systems : SSPs**

#### **Grid of Spectral Energy Distributions**

SSPs: 5 metallicities 4000 ages 20 extinction values  $0 \le E(B-V) \le 1$ 

-1.7 ≤[Fe/H] ≤+0.4 4 Myr . . . . 16 Gyr (Starburst extinction law  $\rightarrow$  Calzetti et al. 2000)



400.000 Spectral Energy Distributions e.g.  $Z_{\odot}$ ,  $E_{B-V} = 0$ 

mass

#### **Analysis of Star Cluster Systems** Multi–band photometry - Grid of Models (GALEV)



## Multi-band Photometry - Grid of Models $\chi^2$ method : AnalySED\*

→ ages, metallicities, E(B-V) & masses ± 1<sub>0</sub> ranges of all star clusters

STScI-PRC04-06



Dwarf Galaxy NGC 1569 Hubble Space Telescope • Wide Field Planetary Camera 2

ESA, NASA & P. Anders (Göttingen University, Germany)

#### (Anders & FvA 03, \*Anders et al. 04a, b)



#### Analysis of Star Cluster Systems Multi–band Photometry - Grid of Models

NGC 1569 dwarf starburst galaxy, 3 Super Star Clusters + 169 young star clusters, but only few with masses



Dwarf Galaxy NGC 1569 Hubble Space Telescope • Wide Field Planetary Camera 2

ESA, NASA & P. Anders (Göttingen University, Germany)

#### (Anders & FvA 03, Anders et al. 04a, b)

of Globular Clusters



**Cosmological Importance of Galaxy Interactions & Starbursts Hierarchical structure formation scenario :** Galaxies build up continuously from smaller building blocks ± starbursts! Galaxy interactions much more frequent in the past & much stronger, galaxies more gas-rich **Key role of (Globular) Clusters** = eternal tracers of violent star formation episodes **Cluster analysis 1-by-1 : age & metallicity** distributions, much better than integrated light ! (FvA 98, 99, 04) Multi-band Photometrie : HST, SALT (UBVRI+NIR) VLT, SALT out to d ~ 100 MLy **Spectra**:

#### Age & Metallicity Distributions of Globular Clusters in E/SO Galaxies

Obs. : most show 2 color peaks why 2 ? optical colors ↔ age ± metallicity ? degeneracy blue peak: universal, old+metal poor ✓ red peak : variable, younger ± more metal rich ?



many different GC populations can hide within one optical colour peak (FvA 2004)

<V-l>red = 1.2 [Fe/H] = +0.4 age = 2.5 Gyr <V-K> = 3.6
<V-l>red = 1.2 [Fe/H] = -0.4 age = 13 Gyr <V-K> = 3.0

**Optical + NIR can tell the difference** 

(Kotulla+08a, b)

#### **Formation of Tidal Dwarf Galaxies**

#### **Gaseous / stellar condensations**

- Tidal Dwarf Galaxies galaxy recycling
- galaxy formation in the local universe
   cosmological significance ! ?





# Background objects VLT+FORS MOS main I/A group members and neighbors (Weilbacher, FvA, Duc 2000, 2001a, b, 2002, 2003) confirmed TDG candidates rejected : not recycled objects (Weilbacher, FvA, Duc 2000, 2001a, b, 2002, 2003) Local dwarf galaxies Local dwarf galaxies

(+ fitted relation)

#### **Formation of Tidal Dwarf Galaxies**

#### **VLT+FORS MOS : kinematic independence ?**



Velocity profiles : VLT FORS MOS (Weilbacher, FvA & Duc 2002) - significant velocity gradients (>  $2\sigma$ )

in 7 TDG candidates in AM 1353-272

- rotation and free fall

 $\rightarrow$  TDGs in formation



#### **Cosmo-Dynamical Evolution : The Millennium Simulation**

Virgo Consortium MPA Garching V. Springel et al. 2005



10<sup>10</sup> particles in cube of (700 Mpc)<sup>3</sup> 10 × more than previous simulations > 1 month supercomputer CPU @ MPA Garching DM, gas, stars, SF & feedback prescriptions reconstruct evolutionary history of 20 million galaxies from  $\Lambda$ CDM primordial density fluctuation spectrum  $\Omega_{\Lambda}=0.7, \Omega_{DM}=0.25, \Omega_{gas/stars}=0.05$ vacuum energy density Dark Matter

#### **The Millennium Simulation Starts from early density fluctuations :** random Gaussian field (CMB obs.: WMAP) 380 000 yr after Big Bang temperature and density fluctuations ~ 10<sup>-5</sup> **CDM** : particles (?) that only interact gravitationally : **N-body system Tree – PM method for evaluation of grav. forces:** combination of hierarchical multipole expansion (=tree) algorithm & classical Fourier transform particle - mesh method 512 processor IBM p690 parallel computer, 1 TB of physical memory, 28 CPU days mass resolution ~1 $\cdot$ 10<sup>9</sup> M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> gas collapses inside potential wells of DM halos and forms stars



#### → www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/galform/presse/





#### **Galaxy Distribution**

#### (luminous matter)





#### **Dark Matter Distribution**

125 Mpc/h

# z=18 age=0.2 Gyr all slices are 15 Mpc thick zoom in by factors 4









## **The Millennium Simulation**

- contains ~ 2 · 10<sup>6</sup> galaxies at z=0 with their full evolutionary histories
- allows to
- ★ follow groth of galaxies in mass and size
   ★ study clustering properties
   ★ black hole growth (via simplified prescriptions)
- shows a few very massive galaxies already at very high redshifts (z~5)
- **★** still needs to be fully exploited !
- made use of simplified & ad hoc assumptions for SF, AGN formation and AGN feedback
   detailed physical description still needs to be worked out



#### **Formation & Dynamical Evolution of Galaxies**

Coupling GALEV with a cosmological structure formation code (Collab. with M. Steinmetz, AIP)

**Primordial density fluctuation spectrum :**  $\Lambda$  -Cold Dark Matter

**GRAPE : N-body + SPH code** Dark Matter

> Gas Stars

+ Bias + Star Formation + Feedback mass resolution  $10^7 \ M_{\odot}$ 

**z=3** 



z=0







(Contardo, Steinmetz, FvA 1999)

Could not be continued to z=0 : disk too dense & compact → better resolution & better description for SF & feedback "remedied" by Millennium run by ad hoc assumptions, justified a posteriori